



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/530,689	04/07/2005	Ulrich Weber	LO29-023	6899
21567 7590 02/05/2007 WELLS ST. JOHN P.S. 601 W. FIRST AVENUE, SUITE 1300 SPOKANE, WA 99201			EXAMINER MARTINEZ, JOSEPH P	
			ART UNIT 2873	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 02/05/2007	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Response to Rule 312 Communication	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/530,689	WEBER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Joseph P. Martinez	2873	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

1. ☒ The amendment filed on 04 January 2007 under 37 CFR 1.312 has been considered, and has been:

a) ☐ entered.

b) ☒ entered as directed to matters of form not affecting the scope of the invention.

c) ☐ disapproved because the amendment was filed after the payment of the issue fee.

Any amendment filed after the date the issue fee is paid must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.313(c)(1) and the required fee to withdraw the application from issue.

d) ☐ disapproved. See explanation below.

e) ☐ entered in part. See explanation below.


RICKY MACK
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

DETAILED ACTION

Examiner's Comment

Applicant's arguments, see p. 1-2, filed 1-4-07 under 37 CFR 1.312, with respect to the Reasons for Allowance of claim 20 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the instant office action is to correct the Reason's for Allowance of claim 20.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1, 3, 4 and 8-25 are allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: the prior art taken alone or in combination fails to anticipate or fairly suggest the limitations of the claims, in such a manner that a rejection under 35 USC 102 or 103 would be proper. The prior art fails to teach a combination of all the claimed features as presented in independent claims 1, 20 and 25.

Specifically regarding claim 1, Ikeda (5638223) teaches the state of the art of an optical objective.

But, Ikeda fails to explicitly teach a combination of all the claimed features including provided as said further external surfaces are two mutually parallel seats which lie at an angle of less than 30 degrees to a further optical axis, as claimed.

Specifically regarding claim 20, Ikeda (5638223) teaches the state of the art of an optical objective.

Art Unit: 2873

But, Ikeda fails to explicitly teach a combination of all the claimed features including provided are two mutually parallel seats which lie at an angle of less than 30 degrees to a further optical axis; and further comprising a fourth seat arranged at an angle of 45 degrees +/- 15 degrees to the first seat and to said two mutually parallel seats, and wherein arranged on said fourth seat is a deflecting mirror for producing a third optical axis for a second housing structure, as claimed.

Specifically regarding claim 25, Ikeda (5638223) teaches the state of the art of an optical objective.

But, Ikeda fails to explicitly teach a combination of all the claimed features including provided as said further external surfaces are two mutually parallel seats which lie at an angle of less than 30 degrees to a further optical axis; and further comprising a fourth seat arranged at an angle of 45 degrees +/- 15 degrees to the first seat and to said two mutually parallel seats, and wherein arranged on said fourth seat is a deflecting mirror for producing a third optical axis for a second housing structure, as claimed.

As allowable subject matter has been indicated, applicant's reply must either comply with all formal requirements or specifically traverse each requirement not complied with. See 37 CFR 1.111(b) and MPEP § 707.07(a).

Art Unit: 2873

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph P. Martinez whose telephone number is 571-272-2335. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:00 AM to 3:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ricky Mack can be reached on 571-272-2333. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JPM
1-31-07


RICKY MACK
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER